Imperial College London

Incorporating lessons from iDSI's mid-term learning review

Dr Kalipso Chalkidou, Director
Laura Morris, Analyst
Global Health and Development Group
Institute of Global Health Innovation, Imperial College

iDSI Board Meeting10 March 2017 | South Africa



Outcomes of the Mid-Term Review (2016)

- MTR reported to Board in Sept 2016
- Over same period, iDSI Secretariat moved from NICE to Imperial – now operating fully from new home
- Findings demonstrated clear value of iDSI, high expectations
- Some parallel iDSI activity in 2016/17 addresses MTR recommendations (e.g. Communications strategy and personnel)
- Balance in responses:
 - Inform existing strategic and operational planning, not replace it
 - Judge timing for introducing changes never intended to be one-off exercise



Outcomes of the Mid-Term Review (2016)

General discussion points:

- Our Theory of Change + activities are not direct/short term
 - Institutionalization involves paradigm shifts over a longer period than our work to date
- In the last Board meeting members discussed commissioning an external strategic review in future
 - When is the appropriate point for this?
- Many governance and management recommendations covered by increased formalisation of Board papers, other documentation (see Board paper Annex 1.1.6 for list)
 - Are any governance issues outstanding?









Responses from iDSI partners

- a. Accept with actions
- b. Accept no actions (in current grant)
- c. Partially accept

	Recommendation	iDSI response	Overall comment	Update (January 2017)	Proposed indicator (2017-18)
1.	Clarify strategy, vision and operational priorities	Accept – plan actions	Actions must be phased and draw on earlier strategic planning.	 Communications + Knowledge Management strategy has been revised, building on MTR Monitoring, evaluation and learning (MEL) activity ongoing to capture and reflect on iDSI's contributions to progress 	Initially (2016-17): Communicate existing strategy Continuous activity: Use ongoing MEL to inform strategic discussions Medium-term discussion(2017-): Reflect on our sustainability Medium/long-term: Possibly commission external strategic plan
2.	Continue to strengthen governance arrangements	Partially accept	Good principles, but risk of pre-empting our operational growth.	 No significant change; more governance/ management documents now formalise processes [see Board papers] 	Secretariat activity(2017): explore voluntary transparency adherence for not-for-profits Board(Sep 2017): Risk register and processes codified further DEG stronger and Board more engaged

Imperial College London









Responses from iDSI partners

- a. Accept with actions
- b. Accept no actions (in current grant)
- c. Partially accept

	Recommendation	iDSI response	Overall comment	Update (January 2017)	Proposed indicator (2017-18)
3.	Develop structured, resourced strategy for global engagement	Accept - plan actions	More pro-active strategy for global engagement needed, although we focus on iDSI operations not governance.	 No current changes but continue engaging with global partners. [See Board item 9] iDSI MEL assessing engagement w/global partners; will report to DEG Q2 2017 Consider ERG for iDSI3 	Ongoing activity: Standing updates in Board meetings Ongoing discussion: Review aims from engaging international partners
4.	Review approaches to engaging and supporting countries	Accept- no action yet	Recognise balance between LT support and response to immediate need/demand.	 Operational update: refined processes for agreeing new iDSI projects and seeking supplemental funding. [See Board item 11] Following Secretariat move to IC, more able to respond flexibly to requests. In country presence in India 	Annual activity: Use country-based vignettes and MEL assessments to capture achievements, issues Activity: revisit country engagement criteria every 3-5 years Activity: engage ODI for SSA scoping (subject to £)









Responses from iDSI partners

- a. Accept with actions
- b. Accept no actions (in current grant)
- c. Partially accept

	Recommendation	iDSI response	Overall comment	Update (January 2017)	Proposed indicator (2017- 18)
5.	Identify the full range of skills and expertise needed in the network and proactively seek these out	Partially accept	Recommended short- term focus on building new partnerships to deliver on novel topics (priority). Experience in countries on 'end-to- end' of priority-setting	 No structural change: reflect if there are any gaps in network in skills to deliver on current commitments. Sought expertise from partners (incl. Skills Matrix for board) Recent examples of proactively seeking out partnerships – e.g. ODI in relation to political economy 	Ongoing activity: identify experti se generally required in engagements Discussion (medium term): Review expertise identified in relation to global and country aims.
6.	Develop a knowledge generation strategy that links knowledge to country and global needs.	Accept – plan actions	Took steps when scaling up to address the link with country needs, including requiring research partners to specify policy applicability.	GEAR database (launch Mar 2017) is an additional means of eliciting research and policy questions	Activity: Preliminary scoping with funders on priorities in commissioning future research







Responses from iDSI partners

- a. Accept with actions
- b. Accept no actions (in current grant)
- c. Partially accept

	Recommendation	iDSI response	Comment and plans of action	Update (January 2017)	Proposed indicator (2017- 18)
7.	Strengthen knowledge management and communication.	Accept – plan actions	Relates strongly (not exclusively) to parallel development of comms work stream.	 Currently planning revision of website & introducing F1000 open access platform to improve presentation of KPs Comms & Knowledge Management strategy revised in Jan 2017 Recruiting for Comms Manager at IC 	Activity: Secretariat including knowledge management in Comms staff spec (incl. knowledge translation and exchange)
8.	[Cross-cutting] Consider implications for organisational structure if become an 'one stop shop'	Partially accept	Potential breadth of our offer is an ongoing question; avoid losing our distinctiveness as we expand.	 No significant changes: reflect as part of future strategic discussions. Engaging more with initiatives mentioned to ensure collaboration Stronger procurement links through NHS England and NHSO 	Activity: work with JLN/WB, DCP, PATH etc to avoid replicating areas of activity